



Basics for Improved Learning Methods in the Humanities

Enock Oluwadare AJIBADE, Ph.D

*Department of Religious Studies, Faculty of Arts, Federal University Oye-
Ekiti, Ekiti-State, Nigeria*

enock.ajibade@gmail.com, +234803444435

Abstract

Improved learning methods in the Humanities as a discipline emphasize critical thinking, interdisciplinary approaches, and the integration of technology to enhance educational experiences. By fostering a more interactive and student-centered learning environment, these methods encourage active participation and deeper engagement with the material. Critical thinking skills are honed through discussions, debates, and analytical exercises that challenge students and researchers to question and interpret various perspectives. Interdisciplinary approaches broaden understanding by linking humanities subjects with sciences, social sciences and the arts, providing a more comprehensive view of human culture and history. The incorporation of technology, such as digital archives, multimedia resources, and online collaborative platforms, enriches the learning process by making vast resources easily accessible and enabling innovative teaching techniques. Smith's theoretical framework affirms that humanities integrate constructivism, inquiry-based learning, socio-cultural theory, critical pedagogy, and digital humanities. These approaches emphasize active engagement, social interaction, and reflective practices to enhance scholarly understanding and application of humanities content. Additionally, experiential learning opportunities, like field trips, internships, and service-learning projects, connect theoretical knowledge with real-world applications. These methods not only make learning more dynamic and engaging but also prepare students and researchers for diverse career paths by developing versatile skills. By embracing these improved learning methods, Humanities education becomes more relevant and effective in addressing contemporary challenges and fostering a deeper understanding of the human experience.



Keywords: Critical thinking, Interdisciplinary in Humanities, Technology integration, Interactive learning and experiential opportunities

Introduction

In recent years, the field of humanities education has undergone significant transformations driven by advancements in pedagogical research and technology. As educators strive to enhance students engagement and learning outcomes, a variety of improved learning methods have emerged, focusing on active learning, collaborative practices and digital resources. This essay explores the foundational aspects of these improved learning methods within the humanities, aiming to provide educators and students with effective strategies to foster deeper understanding and critical thinking skills.

Humanities encompass a wide range of disciplines, including literature, history, philosophy, and the arts, all of which contribute to our understanding of the human experience. Traditionally, humanities education has relied heavily on lecture-based instruction, which often prioritizes content delivery over student engagement (Brame, 2016). However, research suggests that passive learning approaches may not effectively facilitate long-term retention or the development of critical analysis skills (Freeman et al., 2014). As such, educators are increasingly adopting more dynamic instructional strategies that promote active participation and critical engagement with material.

One key method that has gained footing is active learning, which involves students in the process of learning through activities that encourage them to think critically and apply knowledge in practical contexts (Prince, 2004). Techniques such as group discussions, peer teaching, and hands-on projects allow students to engage with humanities content on a deeper level. For instance, rather than merely reading a historical text, students might collaborate on a project that examines the text's impact on contemporary society, thus fostering both critical thinking and interpersonal skills.

Another effective approach is the integration of collaborative learning, where students work together in small groups to explore complex



questions or themes. This method not only enhances student engagement but also mirrors real-world practices in humanities fields, where interdisciplinary collaboration is often essential (Barkley, Cross, & Major, 2014). By encouraging students to share diverse perspectives and ideas, collaborative learning fosters a richer understanding of content and cultivates a sense of community within the classroom.

Furthermore, the advent of digital technology has transformed the landscape of humanities education, providing new tools for engagement and exploration. Digital resources such as online archives, interactive platforms, slides and multimedia presentations can enhance traditional learning methods, making content more accessible, real and engaging (Weller, 2011). For instance, virtual museums and digital humanities projects allow students to interact with historical artifacts or literary works in innovative ways, bridging the gap between theory and practice. Assessment practices in humanities education are also evolving, with a growing emphasis on formative assessment techniques that provide ongoing feedback rather than relying solely on summative evaluations (Black & Williams, 1998). This paradigm shift encourages a focus on process-oriented learning, where students can reflect on their understanding and make adjustments throughout the learning journey. By utilizing portfolios, reflective journals, and peer assessments, educators can cultivate a more nuanced understanding of student progress and foster a culture of continuous improvement.

Improved learning methods in the humanities are essential for fostering critical thinking, creativity, and a deeper understanding of human culture and history. Traditional lecture-based approaches often fall short in engaging students and promoting active learning. Instead, incorporating diverse and dynamic teaching strategies can enhance the educational experience. Active learning techniques, such as group discussions, debates and collaborative projects encourage students to participate actively and think critically about the material (Smith, 2020). Interdisciplinary approaches integrate insight from various fields, help students see the broader context of their studies and develop a more comprehensive understanding (Jones, 2018). Additionally, leveraging



technology in the classroom, such as using multimedia resources and online platforms, can make learning more interactive and accessible (Brown, 2019).

Improved learning methods in the humanities are characterized by a shift towards active and collaborative learning, enhanced by digital technologies and innovative assessment practices. By embracing these strategies, educators can create more engaging and effective learning environments that not only facilitate content mastery but also foster critical thinking and creativity. As the field continues to evolve, ongoing research and practice will be essential to refining these approaches and ensuring that humanities education remains relevant and impactful in an increasingly complex world. Furthermore, project-based learning allows students to apply their knowledge to real-world problems, fostering a deeper connection to the subject matter (Johnson & Adams, 2019).

This method not only enhances engagement but also helps students develop practical skills that are valuable beyond the classroom. By combining these innovative strategies, educators can create a more enriching and effective learning environment in the humanities, ultimately preparing students for a complex and interconnected world. The methodology for the basics on improved learning methods in humanities according to Smith is focused on incorporating active learning, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary approaches. Emphasize the importance of discussion-based classes, project-based learning, and technology use to enhance engagement and understanding (Smith, 2020).

Interdisciplinary Approaches to Humanities Education

Interdisciplinary approaches to humanities education emphasize the integration of diverse fields of study, fostering a richer understanding of human culture, history, language, creativity, and social dynamics. By breaking down traditional disciplinary silos, educators can cultivate critical thinking, enhance problem-solving skills, and promote the interconnectedness of knowledge. One significant benefit of



interdisciplinary humanities education is its ability to encourage students to draw connections between different domains of knowledge. For example, a course that combines literature, history and political science can provide students with a more nuanced understanding of societal issues through varied lenses. This approach allows students to appreciate the historical context of literary works, understand the political implications of historical events and analyze the impact of narrative on public consciousness (Wiegman, 2014).

Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration can inspire innovative pedagogical strategies. By integrating methods from the sciences, social sciences and the arts, educators can engage students in experiential learning, such as project-based assignments and collaborative research initiatives. These methods not only enhance students' engagement but also prepare them for real-world challenges by developing skills that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries (Newell, 2015). The importance of interdisciplinary approaches is underscored by the evolving nature of the job market, where employers increasingly value adaptability and critical thinking. Graduates with interdisciplinary training are often better equipped to navigate the complexities of modern work environments, making them attractive candidates for a wide range of professions (Miller, 2016). This adaptability is particularly crucial in a globalized world, where issues often require multifaceted solutions that draw on diverse areas of expertise.

Challenges remain, however, in implementing interdisciplinary approaches within humanities education. Institutional structures and curricular frameworks can inhibit collaboration across disciplines. To effectively foster interdisciplinary learning, educators and institutions must advocate for flexible curricula that allow for course integration and cross-departmental collaboration. Additionally, professional development opportunities for educators can facilitate the exchange of best practices and innovative teaching methods that support interdisciplinary learning (Klein, 2010).

In conclusion, interdisciplinary approaches to humanities education hold significant potential for enriching students' learning experiences



and preparing them for the complexities of contemporary life. By integrating diverse fields of study, educators can cultivate critical thinking, foster creativity, and encourage a holistic understanding of the human experience. As the landscape of education continues to evolve, embracing interdisciplinary strategies will be crucial for developing the skills and knowledge necessary for future generations.

Technology and Digital Humanities

The intersection of technology and digital humanities has transformed how scholars and researchers engage with the humanities disciplines. Digital Humanities (DH) refers to the application of digital tools and methods to the study of humanities subjects, including literature, language, history, art, philosophy and cultural studies. This interdisciplinary field encompasses a variety of practices that involve digitizing, archiving, analyzing, and visualizing cultural artifacts, thus enabling new forms of scholarship and public engagement.

- i. *Historical Context of Digital Humanities:* The origins of digital humanities can be traced back to the 1960s when scholars began utilizing computers for text analysis and data processing. One of the earliest examples was the use of mainframe computers for textual analysis by scholars such as Roberto Busa, who initiated the Index Thomisticus project (Busa, 1980). However, the term "digital humanities" gained prominence in the 2000s as the internet and personal computing became ubiquitous, facilitating broader access to digital tools and resources by individuals (Kitchin, 2013).
- ii. *Key Technologies in Digital Humanities:* Several key technologies have driven the development of digital humanities. Text encoding initiatives, such as the Text Encoding Initiative (TEI), have standardized the representation of texts in digital form, allowing for more sophisticated textual analysis (TEI, 2016). Geographic Information Systems (GIS) enable researchers to visualize historical and cultural data spatially, thereby offering new insights into social and cultural dynamics



(Kitchin, 2013). Additionally, data mining and machine learning techniques have opened up possibilities for analyzing large datasets, such as digital archives and social media content, offering novel approaches to traditional humanities questions (Fischer, 2018).

- iii. *Methodological Innovations in Digital Humanities:* Digital humanities encourage innovative methodologies that challenge traditional scholarly practices. One significant approach is digital textual analysis, which utilizes computational methods to examine patterns in texts that would be difficult to discern manually. For instance, "distant reading," a term popularized by Franco Moretti (2005), allows researchers to analyze large corpora of literature to identify trends over time, genre development, and inter-textual connections. This shift from close reading to distant reading exemplifies the potential for digital tools to enhance our understanding of literary history. Moreover, collaborative projects in digital humanities often foster interdisciplinary work, bringing together experts from computer science, data visualization and various humanities fields. These collaborations not only enrich research outputs but also facilitate the sharing of knowledge and methodologies across disciplines (Brier, 2010).
- iv. *Challenges and Critiques:* Despite the promising advancements, the field of digital humanities is not without its challenges and critiques. One significant concern is the digital divide, which highlights inequalities in access to technology and digital literacy. Scholars in underfunded institutions or in developing countries may struggle to participate fully in digital humanities projects, potentially leading to a homogenization of voices and perspectives in the field (Liu, 2016). Additionally, the reliance on technology raises questions about the authenticity and preservation of digital artifacts. As digital formats evolve, ensuring the longevity and accessibility of digital humanities projects becomes crucial. Initiatives such as the LOCKSS (Lots of



Copies Keep Stuff Safe) program aim to address these issues by creating distributed digital preservation networks (Kuny, 1997).

- v. *Future Directions:* Looking forward, digital humanities will likely continue to evolve alongside advancements in technology. The growing availability of artificial intelligence and big data analytics presents exciting opportunities for deeper analysis and interpretation of cultural works of art. Furthermore, as digital platforms become integral to cultural production and consumption, digital humanities scholars have the opportunity to critically examine the implications of technology on society, culture and the humanities at large (Svensson, 2016).

In addition, the increasing focus on public scholarship within digital humanities encourages the dissemination of research to broader audiences, fostering greater engagement with the humanities. Projects that prioritize open access and community involvement can democratize knowledge production, ensuring that the insights generated through digital humanities are accessible to all (Bishop). In summary, the convergence of technology and digital humanities has significantly altered the landscape of humanities scholarship. By leveraging digital tools, researchers can explore new methodologies, analyze vast amounts of data and engage with diverse audiences. While challenges such as the digital divide and preservation issues persist, the potential for innovation and public engagement continues to drive the field forward. As technology continues to advance, the digital humanities will undoubtedly play a crucial role in shaping the future of humanities scholarship.

Active Learning and Student Engagement

Active learning is an instructional approach that actively involves students in the learning process, encouraging them to participate and engage with the material in meaningful ways. This pedagogical method contrasts with traditional lecture-based teaching, where students passively receive information. Instead, active learning encompasses a



variety of strategies and techniques designed to foster engagement, collaboration and critical thinking among students.

One of the key benefits of active learning is its ability to enhance student engagement. When students are actively involved in their learning, they are more likely to be motivated, attentive, and invested in their education. According to Bonwell and Eison (1991), active learning promotes higher-order thinking and helps students develop essential skills such as problem-solving, collaboration and communication. These skills are increasingly vital in today's rapidly changing world, where the ability to adapt and think critically is paramount.

Active learning strategies can take many forms, including group discussions, peer teaching, problem-based learning, case studies, and hands-on activities. For instance, implementing think-pair-share exercises allows students to first think about a question or topic individually, then discuss their thoughts with a partner before sharing with the larger group. This approach not only promotes engagement but also allows for diverse perspectives and deeper understanding of the material (Michaelsen, Knight, & Fink, 2004).

Research has shown that active learning can lead to improved academic performance. (Freeman et al. 2014) conducted a meta-analysis of 225 studies comparing traditional lectures with active learning strategies across various disciplines. Their findings indicated that students in active learning environments performed significantly better than those in traditional settings, with an average improvement of 6% in examination scores. This improvement is attributed to increased engagement and interaction with the content, which enhances retention and understanding.

In addition to academic benefits, active learning contributes to the development of a positive classroom environment. Students often feel more connected to their peers and instructors when they engage in collaborative activities. This sense of community can lead to increased satisfaction with the learning experience and foster a supportive atmosphere conducive to teach (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). Furthermore, active learning helps to cultivate a growth mindset,



Assessment and Feedback in Humanities Education

Assessment and feedback are integral components of the educational process, particularly in the humanities, where critical thinking, interpretation and communication are key skills. The nature of assessment in humanities education often extends beyond traditional testing methods, emphasizing formative assessments, peer feedback and reflective practices. This sub-topic explores the significance of assessment and feedback in humanities education, highlighting various approaches, challenges, and best practices.

- i. *The Importance of Assessment in Humanities Education:* In the humanities, assessment serves multiple purposes. Firstly, it gauges student understanding and engagement with complex texts and ideas. Traditional forms of assessment, such as essays and examinations, remain important but are increasingly supplemented by alternative assessments, such as presentations, creative projects and portfolios (Harris, 2019). These diverse methods allow students to demonstrate their comprehension in varied ways, accommodating different learning styles and preferences. Furthermore, assessment in the humanities encourages critical thinking and analysis. For example, evaluating a literary work involves interpreting themes, examining context and considering the authorial intention. This process fosters deeper engagement with the material and cultivates essential analytical skills (Kearney, 2020). Effective assessment strategies prompt students to move beyond surface-level understanding, facilitating a more profound exploration of complex ideas.
- ii. *The Role of Feedback in Learning:* Feedback is a crucial element in the learning process, particularly in the humanities, where subjectivity and interpretation play significant roles. Timely and constructive feedback helps students identify strengths and areas for improvement, guiding their intellectual development. According to (Nicol and Macfarlane Dick 2006), feedback not only enhances learning but also motivates students by making



humanities education. One significant issue is the subjective nature of humanities assessments. Unlike STEM fields, where answers may be more authoritative, humanities assessments often involve interpreting meanings, which can lead to varying evaluations. Educators must strive to maintain fairness and consistency while acknowledging the inherent subjectivity of the material (Klenowski, 2009). Moreover, the volume of feedback can overwhelm both students and educators. Providing comprehensive, individualized feedback is time-consuming, and educators may struggle to balance this with their other responsibilities. To address this challenge, educators can prioritize key areas for feedback, focusing on aspects that will have the most significant impact on student learning (Boud, 2000). Streamlining feedback and utilizing technology for efficiency can also alleviate some of this burden. Therefore, assessment and feedback are vital to the success of humanities education, shaping students' critical thinking, communication, and analytical skills. Through a variety of assessment methods and constructive feedback practices, educators can foster a dynamic learning environment that encourages engagement and reflection. By embracing best practices and addressing inherent challenges, humanities educators can enhance the educational experience, preparing students for the complexities of the world beyond the classroom.

Contribution to Knowledge

Improving learning methods in the Humanities involves integrating initiatives, innovations and flexibilities, embracing technological inventions, promoting active engagement through discussions and debates, and incorporating interdisciplinary approaches to subject matter under discussion if humanities as a discipline will continue to be relevant in this constantly changing world. Utilizing digital resources enhances accessibility to current issues and developments, while project-based learning encourages critical thinking. Emphasizing real-



world applications in both teaching and learning and fostering collaborative environments can deepen understanding and appreciation of the subject matter.

Summary

Improved learning methods in the Humanities have gained attention as educators seek to engage students more effectively and foster critical thinking, creativity, and empathy. Traditional approaches often emphasized the use of memory with little intelligence and passive learning, which can lead to disengagement. However, modern pedagogical strategies are reshaping the learning landscape. One significant improvement is the integration of technology. Online resources, interactive platforms, and multimedia tools enhance accessibility and engagement. Virtual discussions and digital storytelling allow students to explore complex themes in new ways, fostering a deeper understanding of the material. Collaborative projects and peer feedback also encourage active participation, helping students to develop essential skills for the 21st century.

Another key method is the emphasis on experiential learning. Field trips, internships, volunteering job, part time job, and community engagement projects connect theoretical knowledge to real-world applications, enriching the educational experience. This approach not only deepens understanding but also cultivates a sense of social responsibility and civic engagement among students. Additionally, interdisciplinary learning is becoming more prevalent in the Humanities. By bridging subjects such as literature, history, philosophy, and the arts, students gain a more holistic perspective on human experiences and societal issues. This method encourages critical thinking and the ability to draw connections between contrasting ideas, fostering innovative problem-solving skills.

Conclusion

In conclusion, improved learning methods in the Humanities emphasize active engagement, experiential learning and interdisciplinary



approaches. These methods not only enhance students' understanding of complex subjects but also prepare them for the challenges of an interconnected world. As educators continue to adapt and innovate, the Humanities will remain a vital field for cultivating critical thinkers and compassionate citizens, ensuring that both teachers and students are equipped to navigate and contribute to society meaningfully. Embracing these methods is essential for revitalizing the Humanities and demonstrating their relevance in contemporary education. Improved learning methods will continue to equip and reposition the discipline of humanities to be a tranquilizer by injecting ethical principles and moral codes to the society against the evils of advanced Science and Technology that mostly look down on Humanities.

Recommendations

1. For improved learning methods to be realistic, active learning techniques which incorporate discussions, debates, and collaborative projects that engage students actively must be deployed. This encourages critical thinking and deeper understanding of the material.
2. Interdisciplinary approaches usually encourage connections between different fields within the Humanities, as well as with the STEM and Social Sciences. This can provide a richer context and enhance critical thinking.
3. The use of technology to leverage on digital tools, such as online archives, virtual museums, and multimedia resources, to enhance engagement and learning that provide diverse perspectives on Humanities topics should not be under emphasized.
4. Emphasis on primary sources will also encourage students to analyze primary texts and artifacts. This hands-on approach can foster critical analysis and a deeper appreciation of historical context.
5. Reflective practices that incorporate reflective writing and self-assessment into the curriculum is also essential. This allows



students to consider their learning processes, identify areas for improvement, and develop meta-cognitive skills.

6. Implementing these methods can create a more dynamic and effective learning environment in Humanities education.

References

- Barkley, E. F., Cross, K. P., & Major, C. H. (2014). *Collaborative learning techniques: A handbook for College faculty*. Jossey-Bass.
- Barnett, R. (2014). *The marketplace of ideas: Reform and resistance in the American university*. Princeton University Press.
- Biggs, J. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university: What the student does*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Bishop, A. P. (2015). *The importance of Digital Humanities in public Scholarship*. In *Digital Humanities: Knowledge and Critique in a Digital Age* (pp. 23-40). Routledge.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Assessment and classroom learning*. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74.
- Boud, D. (2000). *Sustainable assessment: Reflections on sssessment practices in higher education*. *Studies in Higher Education*, 25(3), 267-276.
- Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active learning: Creating excitement in the classroom*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1.
- Brame, C. J. (2016). *Active learning*. *Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching*. Retrieved from <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/active-learning/>
- Brier, S. (2010). *The Digital Humanities and the role of the Librarian*. *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, 4(1).
- Brookfield, S. D. (2015). *The skillful teacher: On technique, trust, and responsiveness in the classroom*. Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, L. (2019). *Technology in humanities education: Enhancing engagement and accessibility*. TechEd Publishers.
- Bruner, J. S. (1996). *The Culture of Education*. Harvard University Press.
- Busa, R. (1980). *The electronic analysis of texts: An overview*. In *Computers and the Humanities* (Vol. 14, pp. 229-236).
- Chickering, A. W., & Gamson, Z. F. (1987). *Seven principles for good practice in undergraduate education*. *AAHE Bulletin*, 39(7), 3-7.
- Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and Education*. Kappa Delta Pi.



- Duffy, T. M., & Cunningham, D. J. (1996). *Constructivism: Implications for the design and delivery of instruction*. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (pp. 170-198). Macmillan.
- Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.
- Fischer, J. (2018). *Big data and digital humanities: A new approach*. *Journal of Digital Humanities*, 7(2), 1-12.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). *Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410-8415.
- Freire, P. (2000). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
- Garrison, D. R., & Anderson, T. (2003). *E-learning in the 21st century: A community of inquiry framework for research and practice*. RoutledgeFalmer.
- Harris, R. (2019). *Assessment in the humanities: A pedagogical perspective*. *Journal of Humanities Education*, 12(2), 45-58.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). *An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning*. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(4), 213-226.
- Johnson, M., & Adams, R. (2019). *Project-based learning in the humanities: Real-world applications*. Learning Press.
- Jones, A. (2018). *Interdisciplinary approaches to humanities education*. University Press.
- Kearney, J. (2020). *Engaging with texts: The role of assessment in humanities education*. *Literature and Learning*, 8(4), 112-130.
- Kitchin, R. (2013). *Bigdata and human geography: A critical review*. *Geographical Research*, 51(2), 129-146.
- Klein, J. T. (2010). *Inter-disciplinarity: History, theory, and practice*. Wayne State University Press.
- Klenowski, V. (2009). *Assessment for learning: A key to student engagement*. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(3), 321-332.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.



- Kuny, T. (1997). *Preservation in the digital world*. D-Lib Magazine, 3(7), 1-6.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Liu, A. (2016). *The landscape of digital humanities: Toward an inclusive approach*. Digital Humanities Quarterly, 10(2).
- McKeachie, W. J., & Svinicki, M. D. (2013). *Teaching tips: Strategies, research, and theory for college and university teachers*. Cengage Learning.
- Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- Michaelsen, L. K., Knight, A. B., & Fink, L. D. (2004). *Team-based learning: A transformative use of small groups in college teaching*. Stylus Publishing.
- Miller, T. (2016). *Interdisciplinary studies: A new perspective on education*. Routledge.
- Moretti, F. (2005). *Graphs, maps, trees: Abstract models for literary history*. Verso.
- Newell, W. H. (2015). *A theory of interdisciplinary studies*. *Issues in Integrative Studies*, 20, 15-30.
- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). *Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice*. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199-218.
- Palmer, P. J. (1998). *The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life*. Jossey-Bass.
- Prince, M. (2004). *Does active learning work? A review of the research*. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3), 223-231.
- Reddy, P., & Andrade, H. (2010). *A review of rubric use in higher education*. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 35(4), 435-448.
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). *Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems*. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119-144.
- Shulman, L. S. (2005). *Signature pedagogies in the professions*. *Daedalus*, 134(3), 52-59.
- Smith, J. (2020). *Innovative teaching strategies in the humanities*. Academic Press.
- Svensson, P. (2016). *The landscape of digital humanities: Challenges and opportunities*. In *The Digital Humanities: A Primer* (pp. 55-76). Routledge.



- TEI (Text Encoding Initiative). (2016). *Guidelines for the Encoding and Interchange of Machine-Readable Texts*. Retrieved from <http://www.tei-c.org/release/doc/tei-p5-doc/en/html/>
- Topping, K. J. (1998). *Peer assessment between students in colleges and universities*. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 249-276.
- Topping, K. J., & Trickey, S. (2007). *Collaborative learning: A purpose and a method*. *Education & Training*, 49(4), 298-305.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Weller, M. (2011). *The digital scholar: How technology is transforming scholarly practice*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wiegman, R. (2014). *Academic feminism and the issue of interdisciplinary work*. *The Feminist Review*, 108(1), 1-16.
- Zhao, Y. (2012). *World class learners: Educating creative and entrepreneurial students*. Corwin Press.